Wood anatomy and construction technique of Late Bronze Age rural cartwheels
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ABSTRACT

A recent archaeological discovery of a Late Bronze Age cartwheel in north eastern France (Erstein, Alsace) has shed important new light on the technical development of wheels in Europe. The well-preserved wooden fragments were discovered at the base of a water well and were in secondary use as wedges to adjust a well lined with a hollowed tree trunk. Dendrochronological and radiocarbon results gave a chronological classification to the Late Bronze Age in 13th century BCE. Although the discovered wheel was not complete, these fragments provide a clear picture of the overall wheel design and allow a detailed reconstruction. The wheel disc comprises three parts that are held together by stump tenon joints and two mutually curved insertion strips with dovetail profiles that are located on opposite sides. The two outer boards have crescent-shaped cut-outs. The planks for the disc wheel were crafted from sycamore maple (Acer pseudoplatanus), and the strips and tenons were crafted from hazel (Corylus avellana) and ash (Fraxinus excelsior), respectively. The results of wood identification indicate that the selection and processing of wood were predominantly based on specific knowledge and supra-regional traditions. The recent find from Erstein is also compared with what is currently known from other Bronze Age sources from Europe. Together, these results clearly demonstrate that there were almost no regional variations in construction techniques from south of the Alps to northern Europe.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Approximately 2000 years after the beginning of the Central European Neolithisation, the first cartwheels appeared about 3500 BCE (Bakker et al., 1999; Burmeister, 2004; Piggott, 1983). The infrastructural precondition of an extensive network of paths had existed long before, as had the use of domesticated cattle as a reliable source of food and pack animal (Beneke, 2004). Thus, routes and a driving force were available. However, the progressive step to humanity’s essential transport invention, the carriage with wheels, took place relatively late. The world’s earliest archaeological evidence and depictions of carriages and wheels originate from Europe and the Middle East (Höneisen, 1989a; Piggott, 1983; Velušek et al., 2009) and occurred simultaneously around the middle of the 4th millennium BCE. A precise temporal and spatial arrangement of these first disc wheels is not yet possible. Another 2000 years later, at the end of the early Bronze Age (16th century BCE), the archaeological sources show an evolution of the disc wheel to the spoke wheel (Pare, 2004). Again, the evidence suggests an almost simultaneous occurrence in Europe, the Trans-Ural steppes and the Ancient Near East. The origins of this new feature remain unclear. Most likely, the domestication of the horse and its use as a draft animal made a lighter construction necessary. Finds and depictions show the first light, horse-drawn, uniaxial carriages with spoke wheels, which have mostly been interpreted as war-chariots (Pare, 2004).

Chariots gained great importance in a cultic, ritual context and as a prestige vehicle during the Bronze Age (Gomez, 1985). At the same time, disc wheels continued to be used in common everyday life and were further developed by continuous technical improvements. By the end of the Bronze Age, this major technological innovation in human history was well established and widely used.

However, archaeological evidence of rural vehicles is rare. The few finds, however, provide an important insight into the everyday use of utility vehicles in prehistoric societies, which has not been previously examined in detail.

Here, we present the wood anatomical assessment and technical construction details of a Late Bronze Age rural cartwheel from Erstein (FR-Alsace). The discovery of this wheel gave rise to questions regarding its exact age, the choice and use of wood, the construction and, ultimately, the functional context of this exceptionally well-preserved cultural remnant of prehistoric transport.
1.1. Archaeological context and chronological frame

In 2007, 2013 and 2014, a team of archaeologists from “Pôle d’Archéologie Interdépartemental Rhénan (PAIR)” excavated a surface of 12 ha on the loess terraces at Erstein, 20 km south of Strasbourg, which contained settlement structures from the Bronze Age (Fig. 1A; Croutsch et al., 2010, 2011). At the ground level, no traces of buildings, neither wooden remains nor ground plans of houses (e.g., post-holes), were preserved in the soil. However, eight wooden well constructions were preserved below the groundwater level for thousands of years. Two types of well linings, reaching the groundwater level at a depth of up to 3 m below the surface, were assembled in construction pits: a chest-like well lining (using timber logs) and a tube-like well lining (using hollowed trunk sections). All eight water wells were successfully dated by dendrochronological methods. The 72 archaeologically excavated and dendrochronologically dated timbers offer a chronological framework that was far more precise than that obtained using radiocarbon dates and pottery typology, thus allowing a better understanding of the settlement history at Erstein during the Bronze Age (Croutsch et al., 2011). The individual felling dates of the timber used for the wells show construction activities dating from the transition from the Neolithic to the Early Bronze Age, with felling dates from 2231 BCE to the Late Bronze Age in 1002 BCE.

The youngest well lining consists of two stacked hollowed-out trunks. The upper oak (Quercus sp.) trunk has a dendrochronological felling date of 1002 BCE. For the lower alder (Alnus sp.) trunk, only a 

14C date was possible and gave 1442–1273 BCE (2σ). This shows that the well was in use for at least 271 years. Several recycled planks were found at the base of the lower well lining, which were used to adjust the hollow trunk to a straight position before filling the construction pit (Fig. 1B). Among these wooden wedges, two parts of a wheel were discovered (Fig. 1B and C). Although dendrochronological dating of the wheel itself was not successful due to the species of wood used, it can still be put in a close chronological frame: because the wheel belongs to the lower part of the well, we can propose a chronological classification to the Late Bronze Age in 13th century BCE.

2. Methods

When wood is in contact with ground its components are rapidly degraded by microorganisms such as bacteria and actinomycetes (Blanchette, 2000). It is only below groundwater level, in a waterlogged environment, that microbial and fungal activity is reduced and archaeological timbers can be conserved for thousands of years (Tegel et al., 2012).

However, when they are exposed to atmospheric conditions, wood structures begin to degrade rapidly, mainly due to shrinking, checking and collapse, which in turn hampers to study wood anatomy and toolmarks. Even stabilisation efforts using chemical methods cannot preserve the wood in the same state it was discovered. For that reason, the wheel was examined directly after its excavation and before any conservation or stabilisation measures were taken.

A safe determination of wood genus or species can only be achieved by microscopic observation of the anatomical features. Thin sections from all parts of the wheel (planks, tenons and strips) were cut using razor blades. The sections were then mounted on glass slides and embedded in glycerine. All anatomical features were observed under a reflected-light microscope at 40–400× magnification along cross-sectional, radial and tangential surfaces. The wood anatomical atlas and identification key of Schweingruber (2011) herein served as a basis for wood identification.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Construction of the wheel

The wheel from Erstein is a wooden disc wheel with crescent-shaped recesses (Fig. 2). This design can be considered a further development of the Neolithic disc wheel (Höneisen, 1989b; Schlichtherle, 2002, 2004), which was constructed without a hub and consisted of only one or two boards and a rectangular centre bore that was firmly connected to the axle. In the case of wheels that consisted of two parts, two or three grooves with a dovetail profile were prepared.

---

![Fig. 1. (A) Location of the Bronze Age settlement of Erstein. (B) Hollowed alder tree lined water well. (C) Cartwheel fragment at the base of the well lining.](image-url)
transverse to the plank edge. The connection and stabilisation were achieved by inserting precisely fitting strips.

The construction of the Late Bronze Age wheel of Erstein, however, is much more complex. Although the discovered wheel was not complete, the preserved fragments provide a clear picture of the overall design and allow a detailed reconstruction (Fig. 2). The wheel disc comprises three parts that are held together by stump tenon joints and two mutually curved insertion strips with dovetail profiles that are located on opposite sides. The two outer boards have crescent-shaped recesses with carefully rounded edges. Presumably, these recesses served as handles to lift the carriage if necessary. They also allowed for braking or fixing the wheel in its position by sticking a rod through them. In

---

**Fig. 2.** Design of the tripartite wheel from Erstein. A and B: Front, back and side view. C: dimensioned representation. D: reconstruction model with central plank and hub. 1: Dovetail grooves with insertion strips. 2: Tenons. 3: Boards of the disc wheel with crescent-shaped recesses.

**Fig. 3.** Photographic presentation of the wheel fragments from Erstein. A) Side and front view. B) Side view of the fracture surface with a cross-sectional area of the tenon and strip. C) Perspective view shows a dovetail-shaped groove and strip as well as small stones on the end-grain surface.
addition, the recesses reduced the wheel's weight without significantly affecting its stability. The main innovation during the Bronze Age, however, is the round centre bore with a hub for a non-rotating axle (Schöbel, 2002; Pugin et al., 1988; Heussner, 1985; Cornaggia Castiglioni and Calegari, 1978). Compared to the Neolithic examples (d 40–70 cm), the wheel from Erstein is significantly larger, with a diameter of 85 cm. Compared to other Late Bronze Age wheels (d 70.6–93 cm), it has an average size (Nadler, 2002; Heussner, 1985). The similarity in shape and construction of all previously found wooden wheels of the Late Bronze Age is striking (Fig. 4). Obviously, they were designed using the same construction technique from northern Germany to northern Italy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Wheel Ø cm</th>
<th>Wheel rim width cm</th>
<th>Wheel disc</th>
<th>Dovetail strips</th>
<th>Age (BC)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Bad Buchau &quot;Wasserburg Buchau&quot;, GER</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Oak</td>
<td>Ash and Hornbeam</td>
<td>1100–800</td>
<td>Schöbel, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Greding &quot;ICE Trasse&quot;, GER</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Beech</td>
<td>Oak</td>
<td>966–800</td>
<td>Nadler, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Corcelettes &quot;Granson&quot;, CH</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>1025–958</td>
<td>Pugin et al., 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Erstein &quot;Grasweg&quot;, F</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Maple</td>
<td>Hazel</td>
<td>1442–1273</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Aschener Moor &quot;Moonweg V&quot;, GER</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Late Bronze Age</td>
<td>Prejawa, 1894</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Existing archaeological evidence of European Bronze Age wheels and their similarity.
3.2. Wood anatomy

Well-preserved in a waterlogged environment, two planks of the wheel disc, two strips of sliding dovetail joints and two tenons could be wood anatomically determined (Fig. 3).

The tangentially split boards (perpendicular to the rays and tangential to the annual rings) for the disc wheel were crafted from a maple wood (Acer pseudoplatanus) which is common in the region around Erstein and is one of the most valuable hardwoods. The wood structure is homogenous and the texture is around Erstein and is one of the most valuable hardwoods. The oven dry density of 590 kg/m³ which is less than that of ash or oak (650 kg/m³) (Grosser and Teetz, 1985) and is medium tough, elastic and flexible (Sell, 1997). Furthermore, it shrinks only moderately and has relatively high dimensional stability when exposed to environment with changing humidity. Maple is easy to split and has a high abrasion resistance. Dimensional stability and high abrasion resistance are among main advantages to use the wood of this species for parts of a wheel. In traditional crafts, maple is preferred for use in table boards, panelling, carvings and instruments. Evidently, the good splitting property combined with elasticity and low deformation tendency made this type of wood preferential for the early craftsmen. Though all known Neolithic disc wheels also consisted of maple, the few comparable finds from the Bronze Age show a larger spectrum of used wood species (Fig. 4). The outer boards of the Bronze Age wheels of Chensur-Léman (FR) and Kühlingsborn (DE) were also made of maple (Marguet, 2013; Heussner, 1985). In both cases, the central board, however, was made of ash. In Erstein, the middle board was not preserved. Therefore, it is possible that ash was used for the central part of this composite construction as well. The selection of ash for this mechanical-ly strained central element might be explained by the physical properties of the wood, which include a high impact bending strength and compressive and flexural strength (Sell, 1997). The remaining insertion strip was made of hazel (Corylus avellana). Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) was used for the tenon, which jointed the boards of the wheel edgewise. The physical properties of ash have already been mentioned: hazel has generally poorer mechanical properties, but its shoots are effortlessly available, flexible and easy to process (Grabner, 2013).

3.3. The wheel and its use

There are some indications that the wheel was used as a cartwheel, broke, and was then disposed of, with some parts recycled to wedge the well lining. The pores in the end grain still show numerous small stones on the running surface of the wheel (Fig. 3C). These have been pressed into the running tread of the wheel from the road surface by the weight of the carriage. The slightly oval shape of the wheel, which resulted from different degrees of hardness of the tread, also reflects intense use (Fig. 3A).

The wood of the cross-section (end grain) is approximately twice as hard as the wood of the radial and tangential surfaces (Sell, 1997), This leads to different levels of abrasion, which result in the slightly oval shape of the wheel. Although maple is an ideal material for constructing a wheel due to its mechanical properties, it has the disadvantage of low durability. However, traces of wood-degrading fungi and insects could not be found. The existing traces of erosion were mainly caused by water movements after the parts’ installation at the base of the well. Most probably, the wheel broke at the joints of the assembled boards under a severe load. These observations and the archaeological context suggest a common use for the transportation of goods, such as agricultural products or lumber. This regular use sheds light on the everyday life of a settlement. It remains unclear whether the wheel was part of a carriage with one or two axes. Both carriages with two and four wheels were commonly used in the Bronze Age, as evidenced, for example, by rock engravings in Valcamonica and chiot models from the Carpathian Basin (Boroffka, 2004; Van Berg-Osterricht, 1976).

4. Conclusion

Although carriages were probably used frequently for the transportation of agricultural goods in settlements since the middle of the 4th millennium BCE, substantial remains are very rare. The few wooden finds of wheels and vehicle parts known to date were found exclusively in circum-alpine pile dwellings or in bogs of northern Europe (Schlichterle, 2004; Taylor and Pryor, 2001; Prejawa, 1894). The find from Erstein is an exception. The well-preserved wheel fragments were discovered within a settlement on mineral soil at the base of a well. The archaeological context and traces suggest intense use as a cartwheel on a transport vehicle. The construction of the wheel highly corresponds to the ones previously known from the late Bronze Age. It again reflects the uniformity of wheels for common use in this age throughout Europe. This is all the more astonishing, given that the design with tenon dowel joints, dovetail joints and a fitted mobile hub for a non-rotating axle displays a structurally complex construction. Furthermore, the results of wood identification indicate that the selection and processing of wood were predominantly based on specific knowledge and supra-regional traditions.
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